



IN THE HIGH COURT OF MALAWI

COMMERCIAL DIVISION

Blantyre Registry

Commercial Case No. 85 of 2016

(Before Honourable Justice Sikwese)

BETWEEN

ADAMS RENT A CAR......CLAIMANT

AND

MOTA ENGIL ENGENHARIA E CONSTRUCAO AFRICA SA......DEFENDANT

CORAM: D.H. SANKHULANI, ESQ., ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Claimant (paying costs), absent

Mr. L. Mtonga, of Counsel for the Defendant (receiving costs)

Mr. A. Nyirongo, Court Clerk

Sankhulani, AR

ORDER ON TAXATION OF PARTY AND PARTY COSTS

Introduction

This order follows hearing of proceedings for taxation of party and party costs that was held herein.

Background Information

The Claimant commenced the present action against the Defendant.

The Defendant duly filed defence.

Subsequently, the Claimant's action was, on the Defendant's application, dismissed with costs, because the Claimant had failed to comply with an order for directions that was made at a scheduling conference.

Accordingly, the Defendant filed the present bill of costs.

Hearing of these proceedings for assessment of costs took place in the absence of the Claimant, since there was proof of service of the notice of appointment on it. At the hearing, the Defendant presented and adopted the bill of costs herein. The matter was then adjourned to today's date for an order on taxation of costs. Hence the present order.

Issues for Determination herein

From the bill of costs herein, I have isolated issues for determination as are listed below. My determination on all the other issues and items of the bill shall be reflected in the awards I shall make herein.

- Counsel's hourly rate apposite herein.
- The appropriate quantum of costs herein.

Counsel's Hourly Rate Apposite Herein

This matter was alternately handled by Counsel Nyemba and Counsel Mtonga, on behalf of the Defendant. Counsel Nyemba is of 4 years standing at the Bar whereas Counsel Mtonga is of 3 years. For both Counsel, the Defendant claims an expense rate of K30,000.00 per hour, as prescribed under the Legal Practitioners (Hourly Expense Rates for Purposes of Taxing Party and Party Costs) Rules 2018.

Due to its absence at the hearing of these proceedings, the Claimant did not raise any dispute against the expense rate as claimed by the Defendant. Nevertheless, this being a court of justice, I shall make a determination on the expense rate apposite herein.

The Legal Practitioners (Hourly Expense Rates for Purposes of Taxing Party and Party Costs) Rules 2018 came into force on 1st January 2019. Counsel's work in this matter, the subject of the present taxation, was partly done before 1st January 2019 and partly thereafter. The Defendant claims the rate of K30,000 per hour for the whole bill herein. However, in my mostconsidered opinion, the applicable expense rate is that which obtained at the time the work under consideration was done, and not that which obtains at the time of taxation. I am not aware of any authority to the contrary. Therefore, it follows that the current prescribed expense rates are not applicable to the whole bill herein. I so opine and find. This means that the expense rate of K30,000 per hour shall apply only to the relevant parts of the present bill, that is to say, those parts relating work done after 1st January, 2019. I so hold. As regards work done before 1st January 2019, the issue of the appropriate expense rate lies in this Court's discretion, as it was held in Barrow Investments Ltd vs. MPICO Malls Ltd (Commercial Cause Number 6 of 2013, High Court-Commercial Division, Blantyre Registry, Unreported). Therefore, taking into account Counsel Nyemba's and Counsel Mtonga's respective experience, the factors referred to in Order 31, rule 5(3) of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules 2017 and all the circumstances of the present matter, I am of the view that the expense rate of K7,000.00 per hour would, for purposes of this taxation, be reasonable for all the work done before 1st January, 2019. I, therefore, ORDER that the expense rates of K7,000.00 per hour and K30,000.00 per hour be, and are hereby, alternately adopted, for purposes of the present taxation, depending on whether the work was done before or after 1st January 2019.

The Appropriate Quantum of Costs Herein

There are two bases on which costs may be taxed, namely standard basis and indemnity basis (Order 31 rule 4(1) of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules 2017).

Where an order for costs is made without indicating the basis on which the costs are to be taxed, costs will always be taxed on the standard basis (Order 31 rule 4(4) of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules 2017.

In the present matter, the relevant order for costs did not indicate the basis on which the same are to be taxed. It follows, therefore, that the costs herein shall be taxed on the standard basis. Where costs are to be taxed on the standard basis, the court only allows costs which are proportionate to the matters in issue and resolves any doubt which it may have as to whether costs were reasonably incurred or reasonable and proportionate in amount in favour of the paying party (Order 31 rule 4(2) of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules 2017).

In taxing costs, the court takes into account the conduct of all the parties, the amount or value of any money or property involved, the importance of the matter to all the parties, the particular complexity of the matter or the difficulty or novelty of the questions raised, the skill or effort or specialized knowledge or responsibility involved, the time spent on the case and the place where and the circumstances in which work or any part of it was done (Order 31 rule 5(3) of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules 2017).

Bearing in mind the legal principles outlined above and taking into account all the circumstances of the present matter, I hereby tax party and party costs herein as follows:

Date	No.	Particulars and Time and Percentage Claimed	Time Granted	Amount in Kwacha
		Brief Facts		
		As provided in Background Information		
		Expense Rates K30,000 per hour and K7,000 per hour,		
		alternately, as above determined		
		PART A		
	1.	(i) Meetings and Court Attendances		
		• Attending mediation on 4 th July 2016 (2 ½ hrs)	4 min	466,67
		• Attending pre-trial conference on 13 th March 2018.(3 hrs)	150 min	17,500
		• Attending court on 17 th September 2019. (4hrs)	180 min	90,000
		Meeting the judge to execute formal order. (25 min)	25 min	12,500
2	2.	Documentation		
		Counsel prepared the following		

documents for the matter:		
(i) Documents prepared		
Notice of Appointment of Legal Practitioners.(20min)	10 min	1,166.67
• Defence (3hrs)	120 min	14,000
• List of documents (1hr)	30 min	3,500
Mediation bundle. (3hrs)	60 min	7,000
• Legal Opinion (6 hrs)	180 min	21,000
Preparing a Notice of scheduling conference (10 mins)	Disallowed. Not seen	0
Witness statement (4hrs)	120 min	14,000
Skeleton arguments (6 hrs)	240 min	28,000
 Application to dismiss matter. (5hrs) 	120 min	60,000
• Formal order. (30mins)	30 min	15,000
(ii) <u>Documents perused</u> Counsel perused/considered the		
 following documents for the matter: Bundle of documents. (2 hours) 	60 min	7,000
• Contracts (1hr)	60 min	7,000
 Plaintiff's Mediation bundle (2hrs) 	60 min	7,000
• Computation of interest (40 min)	40 min	4,666.67

Notice of scheduling conference. (5 min) Notice of adjournment of pre-trial conference. (5 min) Claimant's application on 30th May 2017 (2hrs) Claimant's witness statement. (40 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments. (30 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments in support of amendment. (30 min) Claimant's sworn statement in opposition to application to dismiss matter. (35mins) Sworn statement verifying witness statement. (10mins) Sworn statement. (10mins) Sworn statement. (10mins) Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) Email dated 11th January Email dated 11th January 2 min 233,33 2 min 233,33 2 min 233,33 2 min 20,000 30 min 15,000 5 min 17,500 5 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 5 min 7,000			
Claimant's application on 30th May 2017 (2hrs) Claimant's witness statement. (40 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments. (30 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments in support of amendment. (30 min) Claimant's sworn statement in opposition to application to dismiss matter. (35mins) Sworn statement verifying witness statement. (10mins) Sworn statement. (10mins) Correspondence Counsel spent time on the following correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: Email with attachments dated 9th May 2016; (3hrs) Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) Email dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) Slisallowed. Not described 40 min 20,000 30 min 15,000 5 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 5 min 5 min		2 min	233.33
Claimant's witness statement. (40 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments. (30 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments in support of amendment. (30 min) Claimant's sworn statement in opposition to application to dismiss matter. (35mins) Sworn statement verifying witness statement. (10mins) Sworn statement verifying witness statement. (10mins) Correspondence Counsel spent time on the following correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: Email with attachments dated 9th May 2016; (3hrs) Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) Email dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) Disallowed. Not described 40 min 20,000 30 min 15,000 35 min 7,000 5 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 5 min 5 min 583.33	 Notice of adjournment of pre-trial conference. (5 min) 	2 min	233.33
Claimant's witness statement. (40 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments. (30 min) Claimant's skeleton arguments in support of amendment. (30 min) Claimant's sworm statement in opposition to application to dismiss matter. (35 mins) Sworm statement verifying witness statement. (10 mins) Sworm statement verifying witness statement. (10 mins) in connection with the matter: Email with attachments dated 9th May 2016; (3hrs) Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) Email dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) Somin 20,000 30 min 15,000 35 min 17,500 5 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 5 min 5 min 583.33	 Claimant's application on 30th May 2017 (2hrs) 		0
Claimant's skeleton arguments in support of amendment. (30 min) Claimant's sworn statement in opposition to application to dismiss matter. (35mins) Sworn statement verifying witness statement. (10mins) Sworn statement. (10mins) in connection with the matter: Email with attachments dated 9th May 2016; (3hrs) Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) Email dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) So min 15,000 30 min 15,000 35 min 17,500 5 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 6 min 7,000 Final dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) 5 min 7,000			20,000
arguments in support of amendment. (30 min) Claimant's sworn statement in opposition to application to dismiss matter. (35mins) Sworn statement verifying witness statement. (10mins) Sworn statement. (10mins) min 17,500 5 min 2,500 (iii) Correspondence Counsel spent time on the following correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: Email with attachments dated 9th May 2016; (3hrs) Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) Email dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) Email dated 12th October 5 min 583.33		30 min	15,000
in opposition to application to dismiss matter. (35mins) Sworm statement verifying witness statement. (10mins) (iii) Correspondence Counsel spent time on the following correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: Email with attachments dated 9th May 2016; (3hrs) Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) Email dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) 5 min 17,500 2,500	arguments in support of	30 min	15,000
(iii) Correspondence Counsel spent time on the following correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: • Email with attachments dated 9th May 2016; (3hrs) 60 min 7,000 • Email dated 10th October 2017 (6 min) 6 min 700 • Email dated 12th October 2017 (5 min) 583.33	in opposition to application	35 min	17,500
Counsel spent time on the following correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: • Email with attachments dated 9 th May 2016; (3hrs) • Email dated 10 th October 2017 (6 min) • Email dated 12 th October 5 min 583.33		5 min	2,500
Counsel spent time on the following correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: • Email with attachments dated 9 th May 2016; (3hrs) • Email dated 10 th October 2017 (6 min) • Email dated 12 th October 5 min 583.33			
correspondence among others, in connection with the matter: • Email with attachments dated 9 th May 2016; (3hrs) • Email dated 10 th October 2017 (6 min) • Email dated 12 th October 2017 (5 min) 5 min 583.33	(iii) <u>Correspondence</u>		
dated 9 th May 2016; (3hrs) 60 min 7,000 Email dated 10 th October 2017 (6 min) 6 min 700 Email dated 12 th October 2017 (5 min) 583.33	correspondence among others, in		
2017 (6 min) 6 min 700 • Email dated 12 th October 2017 (5 min) 583.33		60 min	7,000
2017 (5 min) 5 min 583.33		6 min	700
Email dated 11 th January		5 min	583.33
	 Email dated 11th January 		

2018 (6 min)	6 min	700
• Email from Claimant's lawyers (10 min)	10 min	1,166,67
• Email dated 2 nd September 2019. (6 min)	6 min	3,000
• Email dated 19 th September 2019.(4mins)	4 min	2,000
(iv) Books/Authorities read		
Counsel conducted research and read the following authorities in readiness for preparation of legal opinion, skeleton arguments and affidavits/sworn statements. Some of the authorities were cited by the Claimant in its skeleton arguments and Counsel had to consider them to fully appreciate the position taken by the Claimant.		
• Rules of the Supreme Court; (1hr)	30 min	3,500
High Court (Exercise of Jurisdiction of Registrar) Rules; (15mins)	15 min	1,750
• Court (High Court) Civil Procedure Rules of 2017. (6hrs)	60 min	30,000
• Malawi Railways Limited – vs- PTK Nyasulu, MSCA Civil Appeal No. 13 of 1992; (45mins)	45 min	22,500
Burco Electronic System Limited v City Motors		

PART B		818,166.67
TOTAL OF PART A		818 166 67
Cause Number 434 of 2013. (3hrs)	180 min	90,000
Ecobank Malawi Limited vs Harvey Kalamula, Civil		
Telecommunications Limited vs S.R Nicholas Limited, Civil Appeal case no. 1 of 2011. (1hr)	60 min	30,000
 Einstein Construction Company Limited v Mota Engil, Commercial case no 269 of 2015. (40 min) Malawi 	40 min	20,000
B.P Exploration Co. (Libya) vs Hunt (No. 2) (1982) 1 All ER 925 at 974 (2 hours)	120 min	60,000
• Phekani vs Automotive Products Limited 16 (1) MLR 427 (1 hour)	60 min	30,000
• L'Estrange vs Graucob (1934) 2 K.B 394 (30 min)	30 min	15,000
 Trustee Auctioneers Limited v Finance Corporation of Malawi Limited MSCA Civil Appeal No. 30 of 2000. (2hours) 	120 min	60,000
• The Antaios (1984) A.C 191 (2 hrs)	60 min	30,000
<u>Limited</u> , Commercial Case No.13 of 2017 (1hr)	60 min	30,000

	(i) General Care and Conduct The case also involved complex issues of law and therefore required great diligence from counsel. 80% of Part A	60% of Part A granted	490,900
	(ii) Instruction fee claimed K2,000,000	K500,000 granted	500,000
	TOTAL OF PART B		990,900
	Travelling and waiting in connection with the attendances listed in PART A. (3hrs)	40 min @ K7,000 per hour and 40 min @ K30,000 per hour granted	24,666.67
	PART D		
5.	Taxation Taxation of costs:		
	(i) Preparing taxation bill and compiling attachments to the bill. (12hrs)	420 min	210,000
	(ii) Care and conduct; (60% of (i) above)	60% of (i) granted	126,000

	Totals of Part D		336,000
6.	Disbursements		
	(i) Fuel claimed: K80,000(ii) Stationery claimed: K60,000(iii) Court Fees	K20,000 granted K14,000 granted K16,000 granted	20,000 14,000 16,000
	Total Disbursements		50,000
	TOTAL (Professional fees) 16.5% V.A.T. on total professional fees Add Disbursements	K2,169,733.34 K 358,006.00 K 50,000.00	
	GRAND TOTAL PAYABLE	K2,577,739.34	

Final Order

I view of the foregoing taxation, the party and party costs herein are hereby taxed at a total of **K2,577,739,34**. The Defendant shall file a certificate of taxation for issuing.

Delivered in Chambers at Blantyre Registry of the Commercial Division of the High Court this 28th day of January 2020.

D.H. SANKHULANI

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(CON SIAL DIVISION)